CITY OF ECORSE HOUSING COMMISSION
ECORSE, MICHIGAN
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007
AND
REPORTS ON COMPLIANCE AND
ON INTERNAL CONTROL

Auditing Procedures Report

issue	a unae	er P.A.	2 of 1968, as amended and	P A. 71 of 1919	, as amended.				
l			vernment Type	_	_	Local Unit Name			County
	Coun		☐City ☐Twp	□Village	 Other	City of Ecors	e Housing Commiss		Wayne
		or End 10, 20		Opinion Date March 6, 2	2008		Date Audit Report Submit	ted to State	
		that				***			
				licensed to m	ractica in M	liahigan			
			ed public accountants	•		•	d :- 4b - 6		ding the poton or in the
			Letter (report of comm				in the financial state	ments, includ	ding the notes, or in the
	YES	8	Check each applica	able box belo	ow. (See in	structions for fu	ther detail.)		
1.	×			All required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary.					
2.	×						's unreserved fund ba Iget for expenditures.	lances/unres	stricted net assets
3.	×		The local unit is in co	ompliance wit	th the Unifo	orm Chart of Acc	ounts issued by the D	epartment of	Treasury.
4.	X		The local unit has ac	lopted a budg	get for all re	equired funds.			
5.	X		A public hearing on t	he budget wa	as held in a	ccordance with	State statute.		
6.	X		The local unit has no other guidance as is:					e Emergenc	y Municipal Loan Act, or
7.	X		The local unit has no	t been deling	uent in dist	tributing tax reve	nues that were collec	ted for anoth	er taxing unit.
8.	X		The local unit only he	olds deposits	/investment	ts that comply w	th statutory requireme	ents.	
9.	×		The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the <i>Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> , as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin).						
10.	10. There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that ha not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover.								
11.		X	The local unit is free	of repeated o	comments f	rom previous ye	ars.		
12.		X	The audit opinion is t	JNQUALIFIE	D.				•
13.	×		The local unit has co accepted accounting			GASB 34 as m	odified by MCGAA Sta	itement #7 a	nd other generally
14.	×		The board or council	approves all	invoices pr	ior to payment a	s required by charter	or statute.	
15.	X		To our knowledge, ba	ank reconcilia	itions that v	were reviewed w	ere performed timely.		
includeso	ided cription	in thon(s) lersio	nis or any other audit of the authority and/o gned, certify that this s	report, nor e r commission	do they ob 1.	tain a stand-ald	ne audit, please enc		e audited entity and is not me(s), address(es), and a
We	have	enc	losed the following:		Enclosed	Not Required (e	nter a brief justification)		
Financial Statements					\boxtimes				
The letter of Comments and Recommendations				nmendations	X				
Othe	er (De	scribe)						
			ccountant (Firm Name)		,	- 1	ephone Number 4-726-3308		
	t Addr					City		State Z	ip
P.C). Bo	x 16	3180			St	. Louis	МО	63105
Autho	rizing	CPA :	Signature	1/2 2	2 Prin	ited Name nah I Khan, C.I	P.A.	License Nun	

K. L. II hand

CITY OF ECORSE HOUSING COMMISSION Ecorse, Michigan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JUNE 30, 2007

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report	i-i
Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited)	iii-vii
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	1-2
Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	3
Statement of Cash Flows	4-5
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	6-16
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	
Combining Statement of Net Assets By Program	17-18
Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Assets By Program	19
Combining Statement of Cash Flows By Program	20-21
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Notes to the Schedule of Federal Awards	22
PHA's Statement and Certification of Capital Fund Program Costs	23-25
Financial Data Schedule	26-29
REPORTS ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters	
Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	30-31
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	32-33
Significant Deficiencies Communicated in Prior Years	34
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs:	
Section I - Summary of Auditor Results	35
Section II - Financial Statement Findings	36-41
Section III - Federal Awards Findings	42-44

\sim	•	1		
C .	0.1	ł	\sim	r
D	a_1	1	U	1
		=		_

Certified	Public	Accoun	tants
Cennicu	. r ubnc	Account	tarro

Khan & Co. LLC

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Commissioners City of Ecorse Housing Commission Ecorse, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the City of Ecorse Housing Commission, Michigan, (Commission) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, as listed in the table of contents. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audit.

Except as discussed in the following paragraph, we conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the basic financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall basic financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Because of the weak condition of the Commission's records and due to lack of controls over its tenant accounts receivable accounting system, the integrity of the tenants accounts receivable among other related items in the financial statements appears to be questionable. Consequently, we were unable to adequately validate these financial items through the use of alternative procedures.

In our opinion, except for the effect of such adjustments, if any, as might have been determined to be necessary had we been able to adequately determine the validity of the aforementioned matters, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the City of Ecorse Housing Commission, Michigan, as of June 30, 2007, and the changes in its financial position and its cash flows, thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated March 6, 2008, on our consideration of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis on pages ii to vi is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplemental information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Khan & Co. LLC

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards as required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and the remaining accompanying supplemental information including the Financial Data Schedule are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information, except for the matters discussed in the third paragraph, has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, except for the effect of such adjustments, if any, as might have been determined to be necessary had we been able to adequately determine the validity of the aforementioned items, are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Sailor, Khan & Co. LLC

Liter, Chan &w.

March 6, 2008

City of Ecorse Housing Commission

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) June 30, 2007 (Unaudited)

This section of the City of Ecorse Housing Commission (Commission) annual financial report presents our management's discussion and analysis of the Commission's financial performance during the fiscal year ended on June 30, 2007. This discussion and analysis is designed to assist the reader in focusing on the significant financial issues and activities and to identify any significant changes in financial position. Please read and consider the information presented in conjunction with the financial statements as a whole.

For accounting purposes, the Housing Commission is classified as an enterprise fund. Enterprise funds account for activities similar to those found in the private business sector, where the determination of net income is necessary or useful to sound financial administration. Enterprise funds are reported using the full accrual method of accounting in which all assets and all liabilities associated with the operation of these funds are included on the statement of net assets. The focus of enterprise funds is on income measurement, which, together with the maintenance of equity, is an important financial indication.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The term "net assets" refers to the difference between assets and liabilities. The Commission's total net assets as of June 30, 2007 were \$4,565,290. The net assets decreased by \$267,697, a decrease of 5.5% over the prior year.

Revenues and contributions for the Commission were \$1,153,252 for the year ended June 30, 2007. This was an increase of \$108,165 or 10.4% from the prior year.

Expenses for the Commission were \$1,628,013 for the year ended June 30, 2007. This was an increase of \$286,803 or 21.4% from the prior year.

HUD operating grants were \$750,119 for the year ended June 30, 2007. This was an increase of \$153,147 or 25.7% over the prior year. Capital contributions for the Commission were \$161,517 for the year ended June 30, 2007. This was a decrease of \$4,859 or 2.9% over the prior year.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This annual report includes this Management Discussion and Analysis report, the Basic Financial Statements and the Notes to the Financial Statements. This report also contains the Financial Data Schedule (FDS) as referenced in the section of Supplemental Information. The Commission's financial statements are presented as fund level financial statements because the Commission only has proprietary funds.

Required Financial Statements

The financial statements of the Housing Commission report information of the Commission using accounting methods similar to those used by private sector companies. These statements offer short- and long-term financial information about its activities. The Statement of Net Assets includes all the Commission's assets and liabilities and provides information about the nature and amounts of investments in resources (assets) and obligations of the Commission creditors (liabilities). It also provides the basis for evaluating the capital structure of the Commission and assessing the liquidity and financial flexibility of the Commission.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets. This statement measures the success of the Commission's operations over the past year and can be used to determine whether the Commission has successfully recovered all its costs through its user fees and other charges, profitability and credit worthiness.

The final required financial statement is the Statement of Cash Flows. The statement reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operations, investing and financing activities and provides answers to such questions as where did cash come from. what was cash used for, and what was the change in the cash balance during the reporting period.

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the basic financial statements and provide more detailed data.

Supplemental Information

This report also contains the Financial Data Schedule (FDS) as referenced in the section of Supplemental Information. HUD has established Uniform Financial Reporting Standards that require Housing Commission's to submit financial information electronically to HUD using the FDS format. This financial information was electronically transmitted to the Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC) for the year ended June 30, 2007 and is required to be included in the audit reporting package.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Net assets may serve, over time, as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. As stated in the table on the following page, assets exceeded liabilities by \$4,565,290 at the close of the year ended June 30, 2007 down from \$4,832,987 in 2006. The decrease in net assets of \$267,697 was due to the change in net assets for the year.

The unrestricted net assets were \$72,126 as of June 30, 2007. This amount may be used to meet the Commission's ongoing obligations. The Commission had no net assets classified as restricted that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. At the end of the current fiscal year, the Commission is able to report positive balances in all categories of net assets. The same situation was not true for the prior fiscal year.

Current and other assets decreased due to a significant decrease in cash and cash equivalents and a decrease in accounts receivable for the year. Current liabilities decreased due to a significant decrease in accounts payable for the year.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (CONTINUED)

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30,

	_	2007	_	2006	_	Dollar Change	Percent Change
Current and other assets	\$	188,062	\$	351,753	\$	(163,691)	-46.5%
Capital assets		4,493,164		4,788,578		(295,414)	-6.2%
Total Assets	_	4,681,226		5,140,331		(459,105)	-8.9%
Current liabilities		108,862		300,977		(192,115)	-63.8%
Noncurrent liabilities		7,074		6,367		707	10.0%
Total Liabilities	_	115,936	_	307,344		(191,408)	-62.3%
Net Assets							
Invested in capital assets		4,493,164		4,788,578		(295,414)	-6.2%
Unrestricted		72,126		44,409		27,717	62.4%
Total Net Assets	\$	4,565,290	\$	4,832,987	\$	(267,697)	-5.5%

The largest portion of the Commission's net assets reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g. land, buildings and equipment) less accumulated depreciation. The Commission uses these capital assets to provide service and consequently these assets are not available to liquidate liabilities or other spending.

While the Statement of Net Assets shows the change in financial position of net assets, the Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets provides answers as to the nature and source of these changes.

As can be seen in the table on the following page total revenues and contributions increased by \$108,165 due to increases in HUD operating grants and other income, which were partially offset by decreases in rental revenue, capital contributions and interest income.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (CONTINUED)

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,

	2	007	2006		Dollar Change	Percent Change
Revenues and Contributions					_	
Operating - non-operating -capital						
contributions:						
Rental revenue	\$	215,840	\$ 272,834	\$	(56,994)	-20.9%
HUD operating grants		750,119	596,972		153,147	25.7%
Interest income		3,369	3,419		(50)	-1.5%
Capital Contributions		161,517	166,376		(4,859)	-2.9%
Other income		22,407	 5,486		16,921	75.5%
Total Revenues and Contributions	1	,153,252	 1,045,087		108,165	10.4%
Expenses						
Personal services		396,997	354,975		42,022	11.8%
Utilities		123,206	100,893		22,313	22.1%
Operations and maintenance		308,609	206,035		102,574	49.8%
Insurance		86,120	77,616		8,504	11.0%
Payment in lieu of taxes		18,555	16,857		1,698	10.1%
Other supplies and expenses		237,235	121,354		115,881	95.5%
Loss on disposition of fixed assets			4,063		(4,063)	-100.0%
Depreciation		457,291	459,417		(2,126)	-0.5%
Total Expenses	1	,628,013	1,341,210		286,803	21.4%
Change in net assets	(474,761)	(296,123)		(178,638)	
Beginning net assets	4	,832,987	5,129,110		(296,123)	
Prior period adjustments		207,064	 	_	207,064	
Beginning net assets, adjusted	5	,040,051	5,129,110	_	(89,059)	
Ending net assets	\$4	,565,290	\$ 4,832,987	\$	(267,697)	

Total expenses for the Commission increased by \$286,803 due to increases in personal services, utilities, operations and maintenance, insurance, payment in lieu of taxes and other supplies and expenses, which were slightly offset by a decrease in depreciation.

CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital Assets - The City of Ecorse Housing Commission's investment in capital assets, as of June 30, 2007 amounts to \$4,493,164 (net of accumulated depreciation). This investment in capital assets includes land, buildings, improvements, equipment and construction in progress.

CAPITAL ASSETS NET OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION JUNE 30,

		2007	_	2006		Dollar Change
Land	\$	494,047	\$	494,047	\$	
Building		10,399,054		10,399,054		
Furniture, equipment and machinery- dwelling		126,733		142,487		(15,754)
Furniture, equipment and machinery- administration		218,578		218,218		360
Leasehold improvements		1,666,386		1,666,386		
Construction in progress	_	425,481	_	263, <u>964</u>	-	161,517
		13,330,279		13,184,156		146,123
Accumulated depreciation	_	8,837,115	_	8,395,578	-	441,537
Total	\$_	4,493,164	\$_	4,788,578	\$_	(295,414)

The total decrease in the Commission's capital assets for the current fiscal year was \$295,414 or 6.2% in terms of net book value. Actual expenditures to purchase equipment and construct capital assets were \$161,517 for the year. The Commission has \$381,315 available in Capital Funds to draw down and spend in the future.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET AND RATES

The Housing Commission is primarily dependent upon HUD for the funding of operations; therefore, the Housing Commission is affected more by the Federal budget than by local economic conditions. The funding of programs could be significantly affected by the 2007 Federal budget.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Commission's finances for all those with an interest in its finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or request for additional financial information should be addressed to the Executive Director, City of Ecorse Housing Commission, 266 Hyacinth, Ecorse, Michigan 48229.

Ecorse, Michigan

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

June 30, 2007

ASSETS	
Current Assets:	
Investments	\$ 122,384.21
Receivable - net of allowances:	,
Accounts	15,641.84
Prepaid expenses	50,035.97
Total Current Assets	188,062.02
Noncurrent Assets:	
Capital assets:	
Land, improvements, and construction in progress	919,527.91
Other capital assets, net of depreciation	3,573,636.45
Total capital assets- net	4,493,164.36
Total Noncurrent Assets	4,493,164.36
Total Assets	\$ <u>4,681,226.38</u>

Ecorse, Michigan

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

LIABILITIES	
Current Liabilities:	
Bank overdraft	\$ 27,608.88
Accounts Payable	36,628.61
Accrued compensated absences	12,431.00
Tenant security deposit liability	25,912.00
Deferred revenues	6,281.81
Total Current Liabilities	108,862.30
Noncurrent Liabilities:	
Accrued compensated absences	7,074.10
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	7,074.10
Total Liabilities	115,936.40
NET ASSETS	
Invested in capital assets	4,493,164.36
Unrestricted	72,125.62
Total Net Assets	4,565,289.98
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$4,681,226.38

Ecorse, Michigan

STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

For Year Ended June 30, 2007

Operating Revenues:	
Rental revenue	\$ 215,839.76
Operating subsidies- HUD grants	750,119.03
Other revenues	22,407.66
Total operating revenues	988,366.45
Operating Expenses:	
Personal services	396,997.39
Utilities	123,205.91
Operations and maintenance	308,608.97
Insurance	86,120.47
Payment in lieu of taxes	18,555.03
Other supplies and expenses	237,235.05
Depreciation	457,290.63
Total operating expenses	1,628,013.45
Operating income (loss)	(639,647.00)
Non-operating revenues (expenses):	
Interest and investment earnings	3,368.66
Net non-operating revenues (expenses)	3,368.66
Income (loss) before other revenues, expenses,	
gains, losses and transfers	(636,278.34)
Capital contributions	161,517.22
Change in net assets	(474,761.12)
Net assets at beginning of year	4,832,987.26
Prior period error corrections	207,063.84
Net assets adjusted at beginning of year	5,040,051.10
Net assets at end of year	\$4,565,289.98

See notes to financial statements

Ecorse, Michigan

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Cash flows from operating activities:	
Cash received from tenants	\$ 217,899.74
Cash received from HUD grants- operating	843,850.64
Cash received from other operating activities	22,407.66
Cash payments for goods and services	(741,706.98)
Cash payments to employees-salaries	(304,639.38)
Cash payments for employee benefit contributions	(83,081.29)
Cash payments for in lieu of property taxes	(9,180.39)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	(54,450.00)
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:	
Capital contributions	161,517.22
Payments for capital assets	(161,517.22)
Net cash (used) for capital and related financing activities	
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Proceeds from sale of (payments) for investments	(3,112.28)
Interest and dividends	3,368.66
Receipts (payments) from tenant security deposits	(21,321.00)
Net cash provided (used) from investing activities	(21,064.32)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(75,514.62)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	75,514.62
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$

Ecorse, Michigan

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

For Year Ended June 30, 2007

Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:

contract (most) by operating mean trace.		
Operating income (loss)	\$	(639,647.00)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided		
(used) by operating activities:		
Depreciation expense		457,290.63
Allowance for doubtful accounts		25,915.70
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Receivables		66,791.63
Prepaid expenses		(1,418.45)
Accounts and other payables		24,256.51
Deferred revenues		3,084.26
Compensated absences		12,430.86
Accrued expenses	_	(3,154.14)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$_	(54,450.00)

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2007

NOTE 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The City of Ecorse Housing Commission (Commission) is a non-profit entity established to provide low-rent housing, under the low rent program Annual Contributions Contract for qualified individuals in accordance with the rules and regulations prescribed by the Department of Housing and Urban Development and other Federal agencies.

The Housing Commission complies with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). GAAP includes all relevant Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements. In the financial statements for the proprietary fund, Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) pronouncements and Accounting Principles Board (APB) opinions issued on or before November 30, 1989, have been applied unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements, in which case, GASB prevails. For enterprise funds, GASB Statement Nos. 20 and 34 provide the Housing Commission the option of electing to apply FASB pronouncements issued after November 30, 1989, except for those that conflict with or contradict a GASB pronouncement. The Housing Commission has elected not to apply those pronouncements. The accounting and reporting framework and the more significant accounting policies are discussed in subsequent subsections of this Note.

Financial Reporting Entity

The Housing Commission's financial reporting entity comprises the following:

Primary Government: Housing Commission

In determining the financial reporting entity, the Housing Commission complies with the provisions of GASB Statement No. 14 as amended by GASB No. 39, "The Financial Reporting Entity," and includes all component units, if any, of which the Housing Commission appointed a voting majority of the units' board; the Housing Commission is either able to impose its will on the unit or a financial benefit or burden relationship exists. There are no agencies, organizations or activities meeting this criteria.

1b. Basis of Presentation

Financial statements of the reporting entity's programs are organized and reported as an enterprise fund and are accounted for by providing a set of self-balancing accounts that constitute its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditure/expenses. Enterprise funds are used to account for business-like activities provided to its tenants. These activities are financed primarily by user charges and/or Federal funding and the measurement of financial activity focuses on net income measurement similar to the private sector. The reporting entity includes all of the Commission's programs as an enterprise fund.

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

1b. Basis of Presentation (Continued)

Following is a description of the Commission's programs:

Program	Brief Description
Low Rent	Accounts for activities of the Public and Indian Housing program which HUD provides an annual subsidy to help public housing agencies (PHAs) pay some of the cost of operating and maintaining public housing units.
Capital Fund Program	Accounts for activities of the Capital Fund which provides funds to housing authorities to modernize public housing developments.

1c. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

Measurement focus is a term used to describe "which" transactions are recorded within the various financial statements. Basis of accounting refers to "when" transactions are recorded regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Measurement Focus

In the financial statements, the "economic resources" measurement focus is used as follows:

The proprietary fund utilizes an "economic resources" measurement focus. The accounting objectives of this measurement focus are the determination of operating income, changes in net assets (or cost recovery), financial position, and cash flows. All assets and liabilities (whether current or noncurrent) associated with their activities are reported. Proprietary fund equity is classified as net assets.

Basis of Accounting

In the financial statements, the proprietary fund utilizes the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred or economic asset used.

1d. Assets, Liabilities, and Equity

Cash and Investments

For the purpose of the Statement of Net Assets, "cash and cash equivalents" includes all demand, savings accounts, and certificates of deposits or short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less. For the purpose of the Statement of Cash Flows, "cash and cash equivalents" include all demand and savings accounts, and certificates of deposit or short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

1d. Assets, Liabilities, and Equity (Continued)

Cash and Investments (Continued)

Investments are carried at fair value except for short-term U.S. Treasury obligations, if any, with a remaining maturity at the time of purchase of one year or less. Those investments, if any, are reported at amortized cost. Fair value is based on quoted market price. Additional cash and investment disclosures are presented in Notes 2b. and 3a.

Interprogram Receivables and Payables

During the course of operations, numerous transactions occur within individual programs that may result in amounts owed between these programs. Offsetting interprograms are eliminated for financial statement presentation.

Receivables

Receivables consist of all revenues earned at year-end and not yet received. Tenant accounts receivable compose the majority of receivables. Allowances for uncollectible accounts receivable are based upon historical trends and the periodic aging of accounts receivable.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at average cost, and consist of expendable supplies held for consumption. The cost of inventories are recorded as expenditures when consumed, rather than when purchased.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The Commission adopts a formal operating budget each year for it's operating programs and on a project length basis for it's capital expenditures which are approved by the Board of Commissioners and submitted to the Department of Housing and Urban Development for their approval, if required.

Estimates and Assumptions

The Commission uses estimates and assumptions in preparing financial statements. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and reported revenues and expenses.

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Id. Assets, Liabilities, and Equity (Continued)

Capital Assets

The accounting treatment over property, plant, and equipment (capital assets) is as follows:

In the financial statements, capital assets purchased or acquired with an original cost of \$500.00 or more are accounted for as capital assets. All capital assets are valued at historical cost, or estimated historical cost if actual is unavailable, except for donated capital assets which are recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of donation.

Depreciation of all exhaustible capital assets is recorded as an allocated expense depending on the program where the asset is shown, in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets, with accumulated depreciation reflected in the Statement of Net Assets. Depreciation is provided over the assets' estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of depreciation. The range of estimated useful lives by type of asset is as follows:

Buildings	15 - 30	years
Furniture, equipment and machinery - dwelling	7 -15	years
Furniture, equipment and machinery - administration	3 - 10	years
Leasehold improvements	15 - 20	years

Compensated Absences

The Housing Commission's policies regarding vacation time permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation leave. The liability for these compensated absences is recorded as short-term and long-term liabilities based on historical trends. In accordance with the provisions of GASB Statement No. 16, no liability is recorded for non-vesting accumulating rights to receive sick pay benefits.

Equity Classifications

Equity is classified as net assets and displayed in two components:

- a. Invested in capital assets, net of related debt—Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowing that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. The Commission had no related debt.
- b. Unrestricted net assets—All other net assets that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt."

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

1e. Revenues, Expenditures, and Expenses

Operating Revenues and Expenses

Operating revenues and expenses are those that result from providing services and delivering goods and/or services. It also includes all revenue and expenses not related to capital and related financing, noncapital financing, or investing activities.

Non-operating revenues and expenses are those that are not operating in nature.

Interfund Transfers

For the purposes of the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Change in Net Assets, all interfund transfers between individual programs, if any, have been eliminated.

NOTE 2 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

The Commission and its component units, if any, are subject to various federal, state, and local laws and contractual regulations. An analysis of the Commission's compliance with significant laws and regulations and demonstration of its stewardship over Commission resources follows.

2a. Program Accounting Requirements

The Commission complies with all state and local laws and regulations requiring the use of separate programs. The programs used by the Commission are as follows:

Program Required By Public and Indian Housing U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Capital Fund Program U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

2b. Deposits and Investments Laws and Regulations

In accordance with state law, all uninsured deposits of the Commission in financial institutions must be secured with acceptable collateral valued at the lower of market or par. All financial institutions pledging collateral to the Commission must have a written collateral agreement. As reflected in Note 3a., all deposits were fully insured or collateralized.

Investments of the Commission are limited by state law to the following:

- Direct obligations of the U.S. Government or its agencies or instrumentalities to which acceptable collateral is pledged.
- b. Certificates of deposit or savings accounts that are either insured or secured with acceptable collateral.

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 2 - Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability (Continued)

2c. Revenue Restrictions

The Commission has various restrictions placed over certain revenue sources. The primary restricted revenue sources include:

Revenue Source Capital Fund Program Legal Restrictions of Use Modernization

For the year ended June 30, 2007, the Commission complied, in all material respects, with these revenue restrictions.

NOTE 3 - Detail Notes on Transaction Classes/Accounts

The following notes present detail information to support the amounts reported in the basic financial statements for its various assets, liabilities, equity, revenues, and expenditures/expenses.

3a. Cash and Investments

Deposits

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits. Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Commission's deposits may not be returned to it or the Commission will not be able to recover collateral securities in the possession of an outside party. As of June 30, 2007, the Commission's bank balances of \$98,395.16, were insured by federal depository insurance or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institutions in the Commission's name.

Investments

Custodial Credit Risk - Investments. For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the Commission will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. As of June 30, 2007, the Commission's investments were \$122,384.21. These investments were insured by federal depository insurance or registered, or securities held by the Commission or its agent in the Commission's name.

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 3 - Detail Notes on Transaction Classes/Accounts (Continued)

3a. Cash and Investments (Continued)

Investments (Continued)

Credit Risk Investments, Concentrations of Credit Risk and Interest Rate Risk - Investments:

Credit Risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The Commission will minimize credit risk by reviewing the financial institutions with which the Commission will do business so that potential losses on individual securities will be minimized.

Interest Rate Risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The Commission has chosen longer term investments due to current favorable rates. Longer terms will minimize the adverse effect of possible future declining rates.

Concentration of Credit Risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of the Commission's investment in a single issuer.

3b. Accounts Receivable

Receivables detail at June 30, 2007, is as follows:

Tenant accounts receivable	\$	42,211.05
Allowance for doubtful accounts	_	(26,569.21)
Tenants accounts receivable - net	\$_	15,641,84

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 3 - Detail Notes on Transaction Classes/Accounts (Continued)

3c Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2007, was as follows:

		Balance July 1,						Balance June 30,
	-	2006	-	Additions	•	(Retirements)	-	2007
Land	\$	494,046.82	\$		\$		\$	494,046.82
Building		10,399,054.48						10,399,054.48
Furniture, equipment and machinery-								
dwelling		142,486.80				(15,753.50)		126,733.30
Furniture, equipment and machinery-								
administration		218,217.60		360.17				218,577.77
Leasehold improvements		1,666,386.06						1,666,386.06
Construction in progress		263,963.87	_	161,517.22	_		_	425,481.09
		13,184,155.63	\$_	161,877.39	\$	(15,753.50)		13,330,279.52
			-		•			
Accumulated depreciation		8,395,578.03	\$	457,290.63	\$	(15,753.50)		8,837,115.16
•	-		=		=		-	
Total	\$_	4,788,577.60					\$_	4,493,164.36

3d. Accounts Payable

Payable detail at June 30, 2007, is as follows:

Accounts payable - vendors Accounts payable - other government - PILOT	\$ 21,360.99 15,267.62		
	\$ 36,628.61		

3e. Compensated Absences

Accumulated unpaid compensated absences are accrued. The liability for compensated absences at June 30, 2007 is \$19,505.10.

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 3 - Detail Notes on Transaction Classes/Accounts (Continued)

3f. Non-current Liabilities

As of June 30, 2007, the non-current liabilities are comprised of the following:

Accrued compensated absences - non current portion \$\frac{7,074.10}{\$7,074.10}\$

The following is a summary of changes in non-current liabilities for the year ended June 30, 2007:

	_	Balance June 30, 2006	_	Additions		Additions		Additions Deductions		_	Balance June 30, 2007	Amounts Due within One Year	
Accrued compensated absences	\$_	6,366.82	\$_	707.28	\$_		\$_	7,074.10	\$_	12,431.00			
Total	\$_	6,366.82	\$_	707.28	\$_		\$_	7,074.10	\$_	12,431.00			

3g. Interprogram Transactions and Balances

Operating Transfers

		Transfers in		out
Public and Indian Housing - Low Rent	\$	177,534.27	\$	
Capital Fund Program	_		_	177,534.27
Total	\$	177,534.27	\$_	177,534.27

Transfers are used to move revenues from the program that is authorized to transfer them to the program in accordance with budgetary authorizations.

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 3 - Detail Notes on Transaction Classes/Accounts (Continued)

3h. Unrestricted net assets - Prior-period Error Corrections

Following is the composite of error corrections:

1.	Accounts receivable - HUD - transfer water accounts payable to low rent	\$	124,468.33
2.	Furniture, equipment and machinery - administration - capital amount		
	previously expensed		360.17
3.	Accounts payable - other - write off estimated utilities		44,000.00
4.	Deferred revenue - transfer ordinary maintenance costs to low rent	_	38,235.34
	Totals	\$	207,063.84

NOTE 4 - Other Notes

4a. Employee Retirement Plan

The Commission does not provide a retirement plan for its employees.

4b. Risk Management

The Commission is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; employees health and life; and natural disasters. The Commission manages these various risks of loss as follows:

a.	Type of Loss Torts, errors and omissions	Method Managed Purchased insurance with Housing Authority Risk Retention Group
b.	Injuries to employees (workers' compensation)	Purchased insurance with Accident Fund Insurance Company of America; Claims are administered by Accident Fund Insurance Company of America.
c.	Physical property loss and natural disasters	Purchased commercial insurance with \$5,000 deductibles.
d.	Health and life	Purchased health insurance with Total Health Care USA; Life insurance is provided by Met Life Small Business Center

Management believes such coverage is sufficient to preclude any significant uninsured losses to the Commission. Settled claims have not exceeded this insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

Ecorse, Michigan

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

NOTE 4 - Other Notes (Continued)

4c. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments—Construction

At June 30, 2007, the Commission had the following pending construction projects in progress:

	 Funds Approved	_	Funds Expended - Project to Date
CFP 501-05	\$ 309,133.00	\$	179,438.15
CFP 501-06	 289,858.00	_	38,238.16
	\$ 598,991.00	\$_	217,676.31

Contingencies

The Commission is subject to possible examination by Federal and State authorities who determine compliance with terms, conditions, laws and regulations governing other grants given to the Commission in the current and prior years. No significant violations of finance-related legal or contractual provisions occurred.

Ecorse, Michigan

COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM

June 30, 2007

		Low Rent Program	_	Capital Fund Program	Totals
ASSETS					
Current Assets:					
Investments	\$	122,384.21	\$		\$ 122,384.21
Receivable - net of allowances:					
Accounts		15,641.84			15,641.84
Prepaid expenses	_	50,035.97	-		50,035.97
Total Current Assets	_	188,062.02	_		188,062.02
Noncurrent Assets:					
Capital assets:					
Land, improvements, and construction in progress		494,046.82		425,481.09	919,527.91
Other capital assets, net of depreciation	_	3,573,636.45	_		3,573,636.45
Total capital assets- net	_	4,067,683.27	_	425,481.09	4,493,164.36
Total Noncurrent Assets	_	4,067,683.27	_	425,481.09	4,493,164.36
Total Assets	\$_	4,255,745.29	\$_	425,481.09	\$ 4,681,226.38

Ecorse, Michigan

COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

LIABILITIES	_	Low Rent Program	_	Capital Fund Program		To <u>tals</u>
Current Liabilities:						
Bank overdraft	\$	27,608.88	\$		\$	27,608.88
Accounts Payable	Ψ	36,628.61	Ψ		Ψ	36,628.61
Accrued compensated absences		12,431.00				12,431.00
Tenant security deposit liability		•				25,912.00
Deferred revenues		25,912.00				
Deferred revenues	-	6,281.81	_			<u>6,281.81</u>
Total Current Liabilities	_	108,862.30	_			108,862.30
Noncurrent Liabilities:						
Accrued compensated absences	_	7,074.10	_			7,074.10
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	_	_7,074.10	_		_	7,074.10
Total Liabilities	_	115,936.40	_		-	115,936.40
NET ASSETS						
Invested in capital assets		4,067,683.27		425,481.09		4,493,164.36
Unrestricted		72,125.62		123,101.07		72,125.62
	-	72,123.02	-		-	72,123.02
Total Net Assets	_	4,139,808.89	_	425,481.09	-	4,565,289.98
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$_	4,255,745.29	\$_	425,481.09	\$_	4,681,226.38

Ecorse, Michigan

COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUE, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN FUND NET ASSETS BY PROGRAM

		Low Rent Program		Capital Fund Program		Totals
Operating Revenues:	_			<u> </u>		
Rental revenue	\$	215,839.76	\$		\$	215,839.76
Operating subsidies- HUD grants		511,245.72		238,873.31		750,119.03
Other revenues	_	22,407.66	_			22,407.66
Total operating revenues	_	749,493.14	_	238,873.31		988,366.45
Operating Expenses:						
Personal services		379,284.85		17,712.54		396,997.39
Utilities		123,205.91				123,205.91
Operations and maintenance		301,958.97		6,650.00		308,608.97
Insurance		86,120.47				86,120.47
Payment in lieu of taxes		18,555.03				18,555.03
Other supplies and expenses		200,258.55		36,976.50		237,235.05
Depreciation	_	457,290.63	_			457,290.63
Total operating expenses	_	1,566,674.41	_	61,339.04	-	1,628,013.45
Operating income (loss)		(817,181.27)	_	177,534.27	-	(639,647.00)
Non-operating revenues (expenses):						
Interest and investment earnings	_	3,368.66	_		-	3,368.66
Net non-operating revenues (expenses)	_	3,368.66	_		-	3,368.66
Income (loss) before other revenues, expenses, gains, losses and transfers		(813,812.61)		177,534.27		(636,278.34)
Capital contributions				161,517.22		161,517.22
Operating transfers in (out)	_	177,534.27	_	(177,534.27)	_	
Change in net assets		(636,278.34)		161,517.22		(474,761.12)
Net assets at beginning of year		4,569,023.39		263,963.87		4,832,987.26
Prior period error corrections		207,063.84	_		_	207,063.84
Net assets adjusted at beginning of year	_	4,776,087.23	_	263,963.87	_	5,040,051.10
Net assets at end of year	\$	4,139,808.89	\$ <u>_</u>	425,481.09	\$_	4,565,289.98

Ecorse, Michigan

COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS BY PROGRAM

		Low Rent Program		Capital Fund Program		Totals
Cash flows from operating activities:	_	Fiogram	-	Flogram	-	10(a)3
Cash received from tenants	\$	217,899.74	\$		\$	217,899.74
Cash received from HUD grants- operating	φ	604,977.33	Ψ	238,873.31	Ψ	843,850.64
Cash received from other operating activities		22,407.66		236,673.31		22,407.66
Cash payments for goods and services		(698,080.48)		(43,626.50)		(741,706.98)
Cash payments to employees-salaries		(291,140.28)		(13,499.10)		(304,639.38)
Cash payments for employee benefit contributions		(78,867.85)		(4,213.44)		(83,081.29)
Cash payments for in lieu of property taxes	_	(9,180.39)	_		_	(9,180.39)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	_	(231,984.27)	-	177,534.27	_	(54,450.00)
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:						
Operating transfers in (out)	_	177,534.27	_	(177,534.27)	_	
Net cash provided (used) from non capital financing activities	_	177,534.27	_	(177,534.27)	_	
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:						
Capital contributions				161,517.22		161,517.22
Payments for capital assets	_		_	(161,517.22)	_	(161,517.22)
Net cash (used) for capital and related financing activities	_		_		_	
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Proceeds from sale of (payments) for investments		(3,112.28)				(3,112.28)
Interest and dividends		3,368.66				3,368.66
Receipts (payments) from tenant security deposits	_	(21,321.00)	_		_	(21,321.00)
Net cash provided (used) from investing activities	_	(21,064.62)	_		_	(21,064.62)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(75,514.62)				(75,514.62)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	_	75,514.62	_		_	75,514.62
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$_		\$_		\$=	

Ecorse, Michigan

COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS BY PROGRAM (CONTINUED)

		Low Rent Program		Capital Fund Program	_	Totals
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash	_			_		
provided (used) by operating activities:						
Operating income (loss)	\$	(817,181.27)	\$	177,534.27	\$	(639,647.00)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:						
Depreciation expense		457,290.63				457,290.63
Allowance for doubtful accounts		25,915.70				25,915.70
Changes in assets and liabilities:						
Receivables		66,791.63				66,791.63
Prepaid expenses		(1,418.45)				(1,418.45)
Accounts and other payables		24,256.51				24,256.51
Deferred revenues		3,084.26				3,084.26
Compensated absences		12,430.86				12,430.86
Accrued expenses	_	(3,154.14)	_	7000	_	(3,154.14)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$_	(231,984.27)	\$_	177,534.27	\$_	(54,450.00)

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended June 30, 2007

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

	scal ear	Federal Grantor	Federal CFDA No.	Expenditures
		U.S. Department of HUD		
20	007	Public and Indian Housing Major - Direct Program Low Rent Program	14.850a	\$511,245.72
20	007	Public and Indian Housing Major - Direct Program Capital Fund program	14.872	\$ <u>400,390.53</u>
		Total		\$ <u>911,636.25</u>

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS

NOTE 1 - Significant Accounting Policies

The schedule of federal awards has been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting.

Ecorse, Michigan

PHA'S STATEMENT AND CERTIFICATION OF CAPITAL FUND PROGRAM COSTS

June 30, 2007

1. Actual Capital Fund Program costs are as follows:

	_	CFP 501-03
Funds approved Funds expended	\$ _	277,567.00 277,567.00
Excess of Funds Approved	\$_	
Funds advanced Funds expended	\$ _	277,567.00 277,567.00
Excess (deficiency) of Funds Advanced	\$_	

- 2. The costs as shown on the Actual Cost Certificate dated July 22, 2006 submitted to HUD for approval is in agreement with the PHA's records as of June 30, 2007.
- 3. All costs have been paid and all related liabilities have been discharged through payments.

Ecorse, Michigan

PHA'S STATEMENT AND CERTIFICATION OF CAPITAL FUND PROGRAM COSTS

June 30, 2007

1. Actual Capital Fund Program costs are as follows:

	_(CFP 502-03
Funds approved Funds expended	\$	58,624.00 58,624.00
Excess of Funds Approved	\$	
Funds advanced Funds expended	\$	58,624.00 58,624.00
Excess (deficiency) of Funds Advanced	\$	

- 2. The costs as shown on the Actual Cost Certificate dated March 3, 2006 submitted to HUD for approval is in agreement with the PHA's records as of June 30, 2007.
- 3. All costs have been paid and all related liabilities have been discharged through payments.

Ecorse, Michigan

PHA'S STATEMENT AND CERTIFICATION OF CAPITAL FUND PROGRAM COSTS

June 30, 2007

1. Actual Capital Fund Program costs are as follows:

	_	CFP 501-04
Funds approved Funds expended	\$	324,803.00 324,803.00
Excess of Funds Approved	\$_	
Funds advanced Funds expended	\$	324,803.00 324,803.00
Excess (deficiency) of Funds Advanced	\$_	

- 2. The costs as shown on the Actual Cost Certificate dated April 16, 2007 submitted to HUD for approval is in agreement with the PHA's records as of June 30, 2007.
- 3. All costs have been paid and all related liabilities have been discharged through payments.

Ecorse, Michigan

FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE

FDS Line Item No).	Low Rent Program 14.850a	Capital Fund Program 14.872	Total
,	Assets:			
	Current Assets:			
	Cash			
114	Cash-tenant security deposits	\$ 25,912.00	\$	\$ 25,912.00
		4		,
100	Total cash	25,912.00		25,912.00
	Accounts and notes receivable:			
126	Accounts receivable- tenants-dwelling rents	42,211.05		42,211.05
126.1	Allowance for doubtful accounts-dwelling rents	(26,569.21)		(26,569.21)
120.1	Anowalice for doubtful accounts-dwelling felics	(20,309.21)		(20,507.21)
120	Total receivables, net of allowance for doubtful			
120	accounts	15,641.84		15,641.84
	assounts	13,041.04		
	Current investments:			
131	Investments-unrestricted	122,384.21		122,384.21
151	mvestments uni estricted	122,504.21		122,501.21
142	Prepaid expenses and other assets	50,035.97		50,035.97
150	Total current assets	213,974.02		213,974.02
	Noncurrent Assets:			
	Fixed assets:			
161	Land	494,046.82		494,046.82
162	Buildings	10,399,054.48		10,399,054.48
163	Furniture, equipment and machinery-dwellings	126,733.30		126,733.30
164	Furniture, equipment and machinery-			
165	administration	218,577.77		218,577.77
165	Leasehold improvements	1,666,386.06		1,666,386.06
166	Accumulated depreciation	(8,837,115.16)		(8,837,115.16)
167	Construction in progress		425,481.09	425,481.09
160	Total fixed exects not of an are less t			
160	Total fixed assets, net of accumulated	4.067.682.27	425 401 00	4 402 164 26
	depreciation	4,067,683.27	425,481.09	4,493,164.36
180	Total noncurrent assets	4,067,683.27	425,481.09	4,493,164.36
190	Total Assets	\$ <u>4,281,657.29</u>	\$ 425,481.09	\$ <u>4,707,138.38</u>

Ecorse, Michigan

FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE (CONTINUED)

Year Ended June 30, 2007

FDS Line Item No).		Low Rent Program 14.850a		Capital Fund Program 14.872		Total
	Liabilities and Equity:	_				•	
	Liabilities:						
	Current Liabilities:						
311	Bank overdraft	\$	(53,520.88)	\$		\$	(53,520.88)
312	Accounts payable < 90 days		(21,360.99)				(21,360.99)
322	Accrued compensated absences- current portion		(12,431.00)				(12,431.00)
333	Accounts payable -other government		(15,267.62)				(15,267.62)
341	Tenant security deposits		(25,912.00)				(25,912.00)
342	Deferred revenues		(6,281.81)				(6,281.81)
		_				_	
310	Total current liabilities		(134,774.30)				(134,774.30)
354	Noncurrent Liabilities: Accrued compensated absences- non current portion	_	(7,074.10)			_	(7,074.10)
350	Total noncurrent liabilities	_	(7,074.10)	_		_	(7,074.10)
300	Total liabilities	_	(141,848.40)	_		_	(141,848.40)
	Equity:						
508.1	Investment in capital assets, Net of Related Debt		(4,067,683.27)	_	(425,481.09)	_	(4,493,164.36)
512.1	Unrestricted Net Assets	_	(72,125.62)	_		_	(72,125.62)
600	Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ <u>_</u>	<u>(4,281,657.29)</u>	\$_	(425,481.09)	\$_	(4,707,138.38)

Ecorse, Michigan

FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE (CONTINUED)

Year Ended June 30, 2007

FDS Line Item No).	Low Rent Program 14.850a	Capital Fund Program 14.872	Total
	Revenue:			
703	Net rental revenue	\$ (337,689.38)	\$	\$ (337,689.38)
705	Total tenant revenue	(337,689.38)		(337,689.38)
706	HUD PHA operating grants	(511,245.72)	(238,873.31)	(750,119.03)
706.1	HUD PHA capital grants		(161,517.22)	(161,517.22)
711	Investment income-unrestricted	(3,368.66)		(3,368.66)
715	Other revenue	(22,407.66)		(22,407.66)
700	Total revenue	(874,711.42)	(400,390.53)	(1,275,101.95)
	Expenses: Administrative			
911	Administrative salaries	131,736.66	13,499.10	145,235.76
912	Auditing fees	113.77	21,200.00	21,313.77
913	Outside management fees	40,530.00		40,530.00
914	Compensated absences	12,430.86		12,430.86
915	Employee benefit contributions-administrative	32,583.88	4,213.44	36,797.32
916	Other operating-administrative	159,614.78	15,004.00	174,618.78
	Tenant services			
922	Relocation costs		772.50	772.50
	Utilities			
931	Water	88,458.53		88,458.53
932	Electricity	24,073.54		24,073.54
933	Gas	10,673.84		10,673.84
	Ordinary maintenance and operation			
941	Ordinary maintenance and operation-labor	156,249.48		156,249.48
942	Ordinary maintenance and operation-materials &			
	other	77,483.95		77,483.95
943	Ordinary maintenance and operation-contract			
0.1-	costs	220,790.89	6,650.00	227,440.89
945	Employee benefit contributions-ordinary maintenance	46,283.97		46,283.97
		. 0,=20.77		-,

Ecorse, Michigan

FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE (CONTINUED)

Year Ended June 30, 2007

FDS Line Item No).	Low Rent Program 14.850a	Capital Fund Program 14.872	Total
	Protective services			
952	Protective services-other contract costs	3,684.13		3,684.13
	General expenses			
961	Insurance premiums	86,120.47		86,120.47
963	Payments in lieu of taxes	18,555.03		18,555.03
964	Bad debts- tenant rents	121,849.62		121,849.62
969	Total operating expenses	1,231,233.40	61,339.04	1,292,572.44
970	Excess (deficit) operating revenue over operating expenses	(356,521.98)	339,051.49	(17,470.49)
974	Depreciation expense	457,290.63		457,290.63
	Total expenses other than total operating	457,290.63		457,290.63
1000	Excess (deficit) of revenue over expenses before operating transfers in (out) and depreciation add	(813,812.61)	339,051.49	(474,761.12)
	back	, , ,		
1001	Operating transfers in	177,534.27		177,534.27
1002	Operating transfers out		(177,534.27)	(177,534.27)
	Excess (deficit) of revenue over expenses after operating transfers in (out) and depreciation add back	\$ <u>(636,278.34)</u>	\$ <u>161,517.22</u>	\$ <u>(474,761.12)</u>

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

Board of Commissioners City of Ecorse Housing Commission Ecorse, Michigan

We have audited the basic financial statements of the City of Ecorse Housing Commission, Michigan, (Commission) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated March 6, 2008 which was qualified because of the weak condition of the Commission's records due to lack of controls over its accounting system and supporting documentation. Except as discussed in the preceding sentence, we conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Commission's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Commission's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Commission's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Commission's internal control. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the Commission's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, of the significant deficiencies described above we consider items 3 and 4 to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

The Commission's written response to the findings identified in our audit has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and uses of management, the Board of Commissioners, others within the Commission, and federal awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sailor, Khan & Co. LLC

allor than &w.

March 6, 2008

Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

Board of Commissioners City of Ecorse Housing Commission Ecorse, Michigan

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Ecorse Housing Commission, Michigan, (Commission) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The Commission's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Commission's compliance based on our audit.

Except for the matters noted in the following paragraph, we conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Commission's compliance with those requirements.

As described in finding number 8, 9 and 10 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the Commission did not comply with requirements regarding Special Tests and Provision that are applicable to its Public and Indian Housing - Low Rent Program. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the Commission to comply with requirements applicable to that program.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the Commission complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements that are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal program. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Commission's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the Commission's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A control deficiency in an Commission's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Commission's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Commission's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be material weaknesses.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the Commission's internal control. We consider the significate deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be material weaknesses.

The Commission's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the Commission's written response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended for the information and use of the City of Ecorse Housing Commission, Michigan's management, and federal awarding agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sailor, Khan & Co. LLC

allor Chan &w.

March 6, 2008

Ecorse, Michigan

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES COMMUNICATED IN PRIOR YEARS

June 30, 2007

The prior audit report for the year ended June 30, 2006 contained a total of nine audit findings:

Financial Statement Findings

1. Finding:

Property and Equipment Schedule

Status:

Implemented

2. Finding: Status:

General Ledger Implemented

3. Finding:

Excessive Audit Adjustments

Status:

Implemented

4. Finding:

Tenant Accounts Receivable Write Offs

Status:

Implemented

5. Finding: Status:

Fixed Asset Inventory and Capitalization Policy Not implemented - see current finding #2

6. Finding:

Bank Reconciliation

Status:

Implemented

7. Finding:

7. Finding Status: Payroll Implemented

Federal Awards Findings

8. Finding:

Property and Equipment Schedule (same as finding #1)

Status:

Implemented

9. Finding:

Unlocated information

Status:

Not implemented - see current finding #10

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

June 30, 2007

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITOR RESULTS

		a .		
Finan	C121	V101	temen	ł٠
I IIIaii	CIAI	OLA		Ł.,

	Type of audit	or's report issued:	Qualified	
	Internal contr	ol over financial reporting:		
		erial weakness(es) identified?	Yes	
		ificant deficiency (ies) identified that are not idered to be material weaknesses?	Yes	
	Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?			
	Is a "going co	oncern" explanatory paragraph included in audit report?	No	
Federal	Awards:			
	Internal contr	ol over major programs:		
		erial weakness(es) identified?	Yes	
	Significant deficiency (ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?		No	
	Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:		Qualified	
	Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) on Circular A-133?		Yes	
	Identification	of major programs:		
	CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program		
	14-850a	Public and Indian Housing		
	14-872 Public and Indian Housing - Capital Fund Program			
		old used to distinguish between pe B programs:	\$300,000	
	Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?			

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS
The current audit report for the year ended June 30, 2007 disclosed the following Financial Statement audit findings
1. Journal Entry Support
Criteria:
The Housing Commission must provide adequate support for all journal entries.
Condition:
Numerous journal entries were made between the Low Rent and Capital Fund Program in the maintenance contract costs account with no adequate description as to supporting detail.
Questioned Costs:
None
Effect:
The Housing Commission does not have adequate support for journal entries.
Cause:
The Commission did not exercise adequate control over the general ledger and reporting functions.
Recommendation:
We recommend the Commission exercise more care in processing and recording transactions to ensure the integrity of its financial system.
Management's Response:
Journal Entry Support – EHC disagrees with the auditor's statement of not exercising adequate control over the general ledger and reporting functions. EHC has provided the auditor with documentation for journal entries made between the Low Rent and Capital Fund Program. A detailed list of expenses submitted to HUD on a Capital Fund draw downs was provided to the auditor. The journal entries made are reflective of the draw downs as approved by HUD. However, we recognize improvements can be made and we will ensure that proper documentation is filed and made available in the future for audit purposes.

Action Plan:

The capitalization policy will be reviewed and updated by the May 5, 2008 Board meeting.

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTI	ON II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS (CONTINUED)
2.	Fixed Asset Inventory and Capitalization Policy
	Criteria:
	The Housing Commission must capitalize items costing \$500 or more and have a useful life of greater then one year.
	Condition:
	Upon review of the Commission's property and equipment schedule, we noted the Commission capitalized several furniture, equipment, and machinery items costing less than \$500. In addition during our review of the maintenance contract cost account we noted several amounts exceeding the capitalization policy that were for the rehabilitation of vacated and damaged apartments.
	Questioned Costs:
	None
	Effect:
	The Commission was not in compliance with its' capitalization policy.
	Cause:
	Appropriate supervision was not in place.
	Recommendation:
	The Commission must capitalize items individually costing \$500 or more. We recommend the Commission review its property and equipment schedule to ensure compliance with its policy.
	Management's Response:
	Fixed Asset Inventory and Capitalization Policy - EHC recognizes that the Capitalization Policy needs to be updated. A new Capitalization Policy will be developed and adopted by the Board of Commissioners.

Action Plan:

The capitalization policy will be reviewed and updated by the May 7, 2008 Board meeting.

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

3.	Excessive Tenant Accounts Receivable Write Offs
	Criteria:
	Individual tenant account receivable balances must be reviewed monthly to ensure proper action is taken agains long outstanding and excessive balances.
	Condition:
	We noted numerous large tenant balances written off in fiscal year 2007.
	Questioned Costs:
	None
	Effect:
	Considerable amounts due were not collected.
	Cause:
	Management has not taken adequate steps to collect on tenant accounts receivable balances.
	Recommendation:
	We recommend the Ecorse Housing Commission review their monthly tenant accounts receivable aging schedules and take appropriate action on delinquent balances.
	Management's Response:
	Excessive Tenant Accounts Receivable Write-offs – In the previous year audit, the auditor had issued a finding indicating High Tenant Accounts Receivable. To respond to that finding EHC has taken the step of reviewing

Action Plan:

Ecorse Housing Commission will continue to monitor all accounts on monthly bases.

in court that become delinquent for more than thirty days.

all accounts and adopted a board resolution to write off the uncollectible balances. Due to the history of the Housing Commission challenges, the Tenant Accounts Receivable has grown to be excessive high in the previous years. EHC in its effort to increase the efficiency of the Public Housing program has taken the first step in writing off Tenant Accounts that are uncollectible and will referred them to a collection agency. EHC disagrees with the auditor's statement that "Management has not taken adequate steps to collect on tenant accounts receivable balances". EHC aggressively pursues rent collections on a monthly basis and filing tenants

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

4.	Tenant Accounts Receivable Subsidiary Ledger
	Criteria:
	Maintaining and controlling the tenant accounts receivable subsidiary ledger is imperative to the integrity of the general ledger.
	Condition:
	The tenant subsidiary ledger was not reconciled with the general ledger.
	Questioned Costs:
	None
	Effect:
	The tenant accounts receivable, tenant prepaid rent and its related accounts may not be accurate.
	Cause:
	Management does not reconcile the tenant accounts receivable balance to the general ledger on a monthly basis and does not exercise adequate control over the accounting system.
	Recommendation:
	We recommend the subsidiary ledgers be adequately maintained and reconciled with the general ledger monthly with due consideration to necessary controls.
	Management's Response:
	Tenant Account Receivable Subsidiary Ledger – Management has set up the practice of reconciling the tenant ledger to the general ledger. We recognize the balances in the tenant ledger need to be corrected. This issue is reflective of audit finding $\#$ 3. In FY 2007 – 2008, we will post adjusting entry as recommended by the auditor and ensure that the monthly balance of tenant ledger matches to the general ledger.

Action Plan:

Ecorse Housing Commission will post adjusting entry as recommended by the auditor and ensure that the monthly balance of tenant ledger matches to the general ledger.

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

5. Budget Overruns

Criteria:

The Housing Commission must operate within budget constraints.

Condition:

We noted the following budget overruns for fiscal year ending June 30, 2007:

Description		Budget	Actual	_	Overruns
Administration	\$	203,123.00	291,465.21	\$	88,342.21
Utilities		93,750.00	123,205.91		29,455.91
Ordinary Maintenance and Operation		287,812.00	454,524.32		166,712.32
Protective Services		300.00	3,684.13		3,384.13
General Expense		113,500.00	205,787.66		92,287.66
Total Operating Expenditures		701,185.00	1,205,317.70		504,132.70

Questioned Costs:

None

Effect:

The Housing Commission exceeded the operating budget in the aforementioned categories.

Cause:

The Housing Commission did not ensure that these budget categories were not exceeded.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the Housing Commission place greater emphasis on operating within the budget constraints. Also, when it appears that the current budget has become insufficient, the Housing Commission must prepare a budget revision.

Management's Response:

EHC will closely monitor the operating budget. Management will submit revised budget to the Board for review and adoption, if changes to the original budget are required.

Action Plan:

Ecorse Housing Commission will continue to monitor the operating budget on a monthly bases.

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

6.	Internal Control Policy
	Criteria:
	To ensure effectiveness of Internal Control, there needs to be an adequate design of internal controls describing the separation of primary functions. Internal control design must be considered to create effective segregation of primary duties and to strengthen the control environment.
	Condition:
	The Authority has an internal control policy but it needs to be updated to reflect separation of the primary functions and compensating controls.
	Questioned Costs:
	none
	Effect:
	There is an increased risk of error and other intentional activities that could affect the integrity of the financial statements or physical custody of assets.
	Cause:
	The Authority is in the process of updating its internal control policy.
	Recommendation:
	We recommend the Authority's management and its Board design a system of internal control that would address an effective internal control system.
	Management's Response:

EHC has provided their Internal Control Policy document to the auditor. There were no discussions by the auditor when the document was provided. We were surprised of the audit finding and disagree with the auditor. If improvements are needed to the document, we welcome recommendations as to how best it can be improved. The auditor does not provide any guidance on this nor was able to identify how the policy would not provide the integrity of the financial statements or physical custody of assets.

Action Plan:

Ecorse Housing Commission will update their internal control policy to clarify established duties and responsibilities for each staff member.

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS

The cur	rent audit report for the year ended June 30, 2007 disclosed the following Federal Awards audit findings:
7.	Excessive Tenant Accounts Receivable Write Offs (Same as finding #3)
	Federal Award:
	14-850a - Public and Indian Housing - Low Rent Program
	Criteria:
	Individual tenant account receivable balances must be reviewed monthly to ensure proper action is taken against long outstanding and excessive balances.
	Condition:
	We noted numerous large tenant balances written off in fiscal year 2007.
	Questioned Costs:
	None
	Effect:
	Considerable amounts due were not collected.
	Cause:
	Management has not taken adequate steps to collect on tenant accounts receivable balances.
	Recommendation:
	We recommend the Ecorse Housing Commission review their monthly tenant accounts receivable aging schedules and take appropriate action on delinquent balances.
	Management's Response:
	This is a repeat finding of finding #3. We do not understand the reason as to why the audit finding is being repeated.
	Action Plan:
	Ecorse Housing Commission will continue to review their monthly tenant accounts receivable aging schedules

and take appropriate action on delinquent balances.

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

Action Plan:

8.	Tenant Accounts Receivable Subsidiary Ledger (Same as finding #4)
	Federal Award:
	14-850a - Public and Indian Housing - Low Rent Program
	Criteria:
	Maintaining and controlling the tenant accounts receivable subsidiary ledger is imperative to the integrity of the general ledger.
	Condition;
	The tenant subsidiary ledger was not reconciled with the general ledger.
	Questioned Costs:
	None .
	Effect:
	The tenant accounts receivable, tenant prepaid rent and its related accounts may not be accurate.
	Cause:
	Management does not reconcile the tenant accounts receivable balance to the general ledger on a monthly basis and does not exercise adequate control over the accounting system.
	Recommendation:
	We recommend the subsidiary ledgers be adequately maintained and reconciled with the general ledger monthly with due consideration to necessary controls.
	Management's Response:
	This is a repeat finding of finding #4. We do not understand the reason as to why the audit finding is being repeated. The auditor has done the audit of previous fiscal year and has not recognized this finding twice.

monthly basis and will exercise adequate control over the accounting system.

Ecorse Housing Commission will reconcile the tenant accounts receivable balance to the general ledger on a

Ecorse, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

June 30, 2007

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS (CONTINUED)		
9.	Unlocated information	
	Federal Award:	
	14-850a - Public and Indian Housing - Low Rent Program 14.872 - Public and Indian Housing - Capital Fund Program	
	Criteria:	
	Requested information should be readily available.	
	Condition:	
	We noted that the Housing Commission could not locate a significant portion of documents pertaining to the FY 2007 PHAS Submission.	
	Questioned Costs:	
	None	
	Effect:	
	Unavailability of supporting information affects the integrity of the operation.	
	Cause:	
	It appears the Commission did not devote sufficient attention to this function.	
	Recommendation:	
	We recommend the Commission place greater emphasis in safe keeping its records.	
	Management's Response:	
	We have provided documentation pertaining to FY 2007 PHAS submission. We disagree to the auditor's comment that the Commission did not devote sufficient attention to this function. We are open to recommendations as to how best we can improve our process and filing of documents. But merely stating that	

Action Plan:

Ecorse Housing Commission will continue to improve our documentation system pertaining to PHA Submission.

the Commission has been in adequate is not a true reflection of the condition.